# 

A Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework





### OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT

Te Nehenehenui (previously Maniapoto Māori Trust board) and NIWA have been working collaboratively for over five years with three objectives in mind:

- Engaging and exciting Maniapoto whānau into reconnecting with their freshwaters
- Developing a framework to assess the state of their freshwaters that reflects the unique values of Maniapoto whānau
- Building the capability and capacity of Maniapoto whānau to participate in the assessment of their waterways according to their freshwater values.

The goal of this project was to develop and test a Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework alongside Maniapoto whānau. Ko te mauri, ko te waiora o te Waipā ko Waiwaia. Ko Waipā te toto o te tāngata! Ko Waipā te toto o te whenua, koia hoki he wai manawa whenua! Ko Waipā tētehi o ngā taonga o Maniapoto whānui.

– Ngā Wai o Maniapoto (Waipā River) Act 2012

A framework that specifically responds to the needs and aspirations of whānau involved (e.g. to support in decision-making and influencing action in others), and is whānauled and/or co-developed

Creates outcomes (not just outputs) that are useful and benefit the participating community and future generations WHAT IS A CULTURAL ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK? Acknowledges whānau as place-based experts

Recognises and empowers whānau as co-leaders, co-governors, researchers, knowledge holders and teachers

Enables Māori to share what is known, felt and understood by whānau (their mātauranga) in a way that helps to communicate their story

# **TE MAURI O WAIWAIA**

A MANIAPOTO FRESHWATER CULTURAL ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

is a framework derived from Ngāti Maniapoto values, that incorporates Ngāti Maniapoto ways of assessing the state of those values, as well as assessment tools and approaches that draw on mātauranga Māori, community and scientific knowledge



This timeline highlights key milestones in the development of Te Mauri o Waiwaia as a Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework (CAF).

Te Nehenehenui plans to continue to grow the network of whānau involved in monitoring towards Te Mauri o Waiwaia to increase both understanding about the state of the key values identified, and to continue to support whānau and hapū engagement with their waterways. Developed initial Te Mauri o Waiwaia CAF and identified appropriate tools to measure priority indicators (tuna, swimming and drinking water)

2018-2019 2019 Training wānanga held for whānau

Training wānanga held for whānau on the tools available from Te Ao Pūtaiao (e.g. SHMAK, tuna monitoring, invertebrate identification and *E.coli*)





Refined data collection, developed a data management system and visualisation of the tuna value Planned induction wānanga for interested members/groups; utilise outward facing tools to socialise and communicate data with the wider community



Initially four values were proposed based on existing Maniapoto documents (e.g. Iwi Environmental Management and Fisheries Plan), including tuna, swimming, kōura and sites of significance.

In wānanga, whānau added drinking water, birds, watercress and culturally and ecologically important plants. In addition, whānau identified and prioritised four values to explore more in depth highlighted in Figure 1.

Koura, sites of significance, birds and plants remain included in the framework to demonstrate their importance and future development needs.

### FIELD TESTING METHODS

Each value was developed further into attributes and indicators, which were then matched with readily available tools and methods for initial field testing.

During the field testing whānau explored:

- Which indicators for each value made sense?
- What methods worked for assessing the current state of indicators?

Each method was assessed for whether it was:

- Achievable including if whānau could do what they set out to do when planning their monitoring event
- Practical including whether the methods/tools worked in the field and at the sites whānau were assessing
- Safe including understanding the health and safety aspects of each method, tool and site.



### CULTURAL HEALTH INDICATORS

12:14 🕈	.ali 🗢 🛙		
Maniapo	oto CHA 2.0 💣	=	
me onal		12:14 7	
		× Maniapoto	CHA 2.0
Name	as the first	tell us why you have score you have. This assessment how your sites provide for	d each attribute the t helps us to underst your values from yo
e & Time	States and	perspective.	
Date	() Time	Only fill in the survey for the values you are assessing at your site today	
ect the viewer you are advessing at this sit Tana Swimming Dinking Water Watercress Watercress and the following sections you will indicate how pled you are with each of the attributes to secondard with your values. This will be a discontable with your values. This will be a discontable with your values. This will be a discontable with your values. This will be a		KINA Any you statistical that the bank wegetston is healby and is right to support thus? is a 1- tiek exercise wegetston is interesting to the second exercise of the seco	
ser take time to con re-constants box a us why you have co have. This estimate	sider your answert, ar this and of each soch and being so the under ant being so to under	Are you satisfied that the to support turn?	e banks are protecte δ = banks are neuropy sets of pest plants as more diabased as the

Figure 2 Example CHI survey form.



One of the main methods used in Te Mauri o Waiwaia was a cultural health indicator (CHI) approach.

For each of the cultural health indicators, whānau were provided with questions that asked them to explore how satisfied they were with that indicator by responding on a scale of 1 – 5, where one was not satisfied, and five satisfied (Figure 2).

The following diagrams (Figures 3-6) demonstrate each value, the indicators and the methods utilised to assess them.



Figure 3 The tuna value mapped to attributes, indicators and methods. Indicators in green highlight where a tool/approach still needs to be developed by whānau.

#### METHODS

TRADITIONAL PRACTICE Cultural Health Indicators (CHI)

TUNA AVAILABILITY Standardised fyke-netting

#### TUNA CONSUMPTION Standardised fyke-netting

#### ECOSYSTEMS

CHI, invertebrate assessment, gee minnow trapping

ACCESS CHI



Figure 4 The swimming value mapped to attributes, indicators and methods. Indicators in green highlight where a tool/approach still needs to be developed by whānau.

CHI, clarity tube, depth & flow measurements, visual assessment, periphyton assessment, Wolman

CHI, catchment visual assessment, riparian visual assessment



Figure 5 The drinking water value mapped to attributes, indicators and methods. Indicators in green highlight where a tool/approach still needs to be developed by whānau.



Figure 6 The watercress value mapped to attributes, indicators and methods. Indicators in green highlight where a tool/approach still needs to be developed by whānau.

#### METHODS

#### HEALTH OF PATCH CHI

HEALTH OF ECOSYSTEMS CHI, SHMAK water quality assessment, Wolman walk, visual watercress assessment

的 法 建油 化香花花 人

#### SAFE TO HARVEST CHI

### **DATA COLLECTION**

During field testing, whānau collected data on each value for a site utilising a combination of data collection forms (Figure 7) and an online data collection platform utilising the ArcGIS Survey123 application. Each form was refined with feedback from the whānau to ensure flow, usability and robust data collection.

Figure 7 A sample of data collection forms developed and refined during field testing.

TE MAU

### **DATA MANAGEMENT**

Management of data collected by whānau included key elements that contributed towards our ability to utilise the data effectively. This work commenced in 2021 and included developing:

- Data storage protocols (for both digital and paper forms)
- Data analysis approaches
- Data visualisation templates.

Databases were created to house the raw data collected via paper and digital forms during monitoring events. Once data collected during field testing was entered into the databases it was prepared for analysis.

This raw data is currently being stored by Te Nehenehenui.



### **DATA ANALYSIS**

The analysis of the data collected was completed by drawing together all available lines of evidence for each of the indicators being assessed. In some cases (e.g. water flow) there are multiple lines of evidence (e.g. flow measurements and CHI), and in some instances (e.g. access due to land ownership) there was one line of evidence (CHI).

Raw data was entered into a 'lines of evidence' table, then analysed and scored using a traffic light colour coding system (Figure 8) to measure current state.



Figure 8 Traffic light scoring key.

### DATA VISUALISATION

Visualising the data collected and analysed was important to enable whānau to communicate the current state of each value at a given site. Assessment templates were developed for each value using a wheel assessment template.

Each wheel demonstrated each value, its attributes and indicators, as well as the types of assessments and methods that provided data for the lines of evidence used in analysis and scoring (Figure 9).

The value wheel assessment template was also designed to be able to portray a quick overview of the traffic light scores by attribute and indicator (Figure 10).



ð

Still to be developed

Figure 9 The value wheel assessment template for the tuna value. Each wheel includes the values attributes, indicators and methods used to assess each indicator.



Figure 10 An example of the data visualisation using the traffic light scoring for the tuna value. Here both harvester and tuna attributes are considered āhua pai (average/alright) while the ecosystem health attribute is considered pai (good).



# ASSESSMENT TEMPLATES

A value wheel assessment template was developed for each of the other three initial values (Figure 11) and will be used similar to the tuna value for communicating current state.

#### SWIMMING



### **DRINKING WATER**

#### WATERCRESS





Watercress assessment SHMAK water quality and stream bed composition assessment

Still to be

Figure 11 Value wheel assessment templates for the swimming, drinking water and watercress values.

### **CHALLENGES**

Throughout the development of Te Mauri o Waiwaia and during field testing whānau faced some key challenges, including:

- Weather a lot of rainfall and high river levels meant it was often unsafe to monitor as much as whānau wanted
- Data capture unsafe conditions meant that whānau weren't able to capture data in the intended seasons
- Sites accessing desired sites on privately owned land was difficult.

Despite these challenges whānau were committed to continuing monitoring when and where possible.





## NEXT STEPS AND IMPLEMENTATION

Some of the key next steps identified by whānau and Te Nehenehenui are:

- To continue to develop and refine the data management systems, including storage, analysis and visualisation tools long term
- To utilise outward facing visualisation tools to socialise and communicate the data with the wider community including whānau, hapū, land trusts and councils
- To explore and develop the remaining values koura, sites of significance, birds and plants (e.g. rongoa and riparian).



#### Maniapoto documents:

 $\mathsf{MMTB}$  (2015) He Mahere Ika - Maniapoto Upper Waipā Fisheries Plan.

MMTB (2016) Ko tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao - Maniapoto Environmental Management Plan.

Ratana, K., Herangi, N., Rickard, D. (2020) Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework - Developing the Framework. NIWA Client Report 2020189HN. 28p.

Tipa, G., Williams, E., Herangi, N., Dalton, W., Skipper, A., Iti, W. (2014) Maniapoto priorities for the restoration of the Waipā River catchment. NIWA Client Report, WEL2015-3.

Kaitiaki Contributors, Ratana, K., Herangi, N., Rickard, D. (2020) Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework. Science Communication Summary Report prepared for Maniapoto Māori Trust Board. Published by National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) Ltd, Hamilton, New Zealand. ISSN 1174-264X. NIWA Information Series 96

#### Other guiding documents:

Cain, A., Manihera, D., Kitson, J., Whaanga, D., Blair, S., Murihiku Rūnanga Advisory Group., Young, R., Williams, E. (2019) Policy Brief 1: Applying He Puna Whakaata o Mātauranga. Murihiku Cultural Water Classification System. September 2019. Te Ao Marama, Invercargill: 4.

### **GET IN TOUCH**

Te Nehenehenui contact - Tramaine Murray pātai@tnn.co.nz

NIWA contact - Ngahuia Herangi ngahuia.herangi@niwa.co.nz



### HE MIHI AROHA

E kore ngā tai o mihi e mimiti ki ngā kaitiaki o Ngāti Maniapoto, koutou e whakapau kaha ana ki ngā tōpito katoa o tō tātou rohe. We acknowledge and thank all of the Ngāti Maniapoto whānau involved, it is through collective effort that we will continue to move forward for the benefit of our waterways, whenua and people.

We acknowledge the Waikato River Authority for their continued support and ongoing financial assistance to complete this work, and thank both Te Nehenehenui and NIWA staff and students for their contributions.

We also acknowledge that this mahi has drawn on the experiences of Murihiku whānau and researchers involved in the Ngā Kete o Te Wānanga: Mātauranga, Science and Freshwater Management research programme. Ehara taku toa, ngā mihi nui.



Image credits: Te Nehenehenui, Ngahuia Herangi, Stuart McKay (NIWA)

Citation: Kaitiaki Contributors, Herangi, N., Ratana, K., (2023) Te Mauri o Waiwaia: A Maniapoto Freshwater Cultural Assessment Framework. Science Communication Summary Report prepared for Te Nehenehenui. Published by National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) Ltd, Hamilton, New Zealand. ISSN 1174-264X NIWA Information Series 96

Design by: Kelly Ratana

First edition: August 2020

Second edition: February 2023



